

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.

10/072,331

Applicant(s)

MACKENZIE ET AL.

Examiner

Ronald Baum

Art Unit

2136

**All Participants:**(1) Ronald Baum.(2) William Lewis.**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 26 July 2006**Time:** 13:00**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1,5,6,11,13,14,30,33

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed with the applicants representative Mr. Lewis the need to patently distinguish the applicant's invention by incorporating the limitations of dependent claim 4 into the independent claims 1,5,6,11,13,14,30 and 33. Agreement was reached on the language of such an examiner amendment..